

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/725,679 11		1/24/2003	Dmitri Valerjewich Kapoustine	P66870US0	9968
136	7590	03/10/2006		EXAM	INER
JACOBSON	HOLMA	AN PLLC	MENON, KRISHNAN S		
400 SEVENT	'H STREE	T N.W.			DARED MUNICIPED
SUITE 600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20004				1723	

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>\</i>	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/725,679	KAPOUSTINE ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Krishnan S. Menon	1723	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
WHI - Extra afte - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the month adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	C DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABANI	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	9 November 2004.		
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3)[	• •	•	•	
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 12-31 is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5)	. ,			
6) <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	d/or alaction requirement		
(8)🖂	Claim(s) 12-31 are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers			
•	The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) = :			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	* · ·	• • •	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	, ,,,	•	
' ' <i>'</i>	The dath of declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached C	Milce Action of form PTO-132.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a	) All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<del> </del>	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	<u> </u>	ceived in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a		noived	
	See the attached detailed Office action for a	iist of the certified copies flot ret	Serveu.	
Attachme	nt(s)			
1) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)	
2) D Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	fail Date´. mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date	(08) 5) Notice of infor	mai Fatent Application (F10-152)	

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 12-26,30 and 31, drawn to chromatographic material, classified in class 210, subclass 503.
- II. Claims 28-29, drawn to method of separation of DNA, classified in class210, subclass 656.
- III. Claim 27, drawn to combination with filter, chromoatography materials,etc, classified in class 422, subclass 70.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I (or III) and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product claimed can be used for a materially different process such as separating organic compounds such as aromatic and aliphatic ketones.

Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the material for chromatography

Application/Control Number: 10/725,679

Art Unit: 1723

does not require the particulars of the method of making of the material as recited in claim 12. The subcombination has separate utility such as separating organic chemicals.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

A. Chromatographic material; B. Filter material

The species are independent or distinct because they have different functions and uses.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from A and B above for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 12 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,679

Art Unit: 1723

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to William Player on 3/6/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krishnan S. Menon whose telephone number is 571-272-1143. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Krishnan S. Menon Patent Examiner

3/6/06